

To: Shamet, Stefania[Shamet.Stefania@epa.gov]
Cc: Bemis, James K (Jim) NAB[Jim.Bemis@usace.army.mil]; Seligman, Andrew[Seligman.Andrew@epa.gov]; Jacobus, Thomas P WAD[Thomas.P.Jacobus@usace.army.mil]
From: Gamby, Patricia A WAD
Sent: Tue 11/11/2014 6:41:50 PM
Subject: RE: Response to bypass request (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Thank you. I'll get in touch with Jim tomorrow.

Patty Gamby
202-764-2639

-----Original Message-----

From: Shamet, Stefania [mailto:Shamet.Stefania@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2014 1:31 PM
To: Gamby, Patricia A WAD
Cc: Bemis, James K (Jim) NAB; Seligman, Andrew; Jacobus, Thomas P WAD
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Response to bypass request (UNCLASSIFIED)

Patty: I see the confusion because the rationale focuses on basin # 2, but I think the first paragraph referencing the "two" Georgetown Sediment basins and in the second to last paragraph approving the request gives you what you need. If you and Jim feel differently, feel free to give me a call and we can discuss an amended letter.

-----Original Message-----

From: Gamby, Patricia A WAD [mailto:Patricia.A.Gamby@usace.army.mil]
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2014 11:04 AM
To: Shamet, Stefania
Cc: Bemis, James K (Jim) NAB; Seligman, Andrew; Jacobus, Thomas P WAD
Subject: RE: Response to bypass request (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Stef -

The letter authorizes us to discharge from Sedimentation Basin #2 at Georgetown. Our request was for authorization for one discreet discharge from each of the two sedimentation basins - basin #1 and basin #2 - at Georgetown.

It is our intention to discharge basin #1 first, clean it thoroughly, getting all of the residuals that could not be reached by the dredge, then discharge basin #2 using basin the cleaned basin #1 to supply water to the McMillan WTP. That will give us time to discharge the larger basin #2, containing a greater quantity of residuals slowly without impacting the McMillan plant production.

I don't know if the authorization to discharge basin #2 only was intentional or an oversight.

I am at home today but you can reach me by email. I will be in the office all day tomorrow and can be reached by email or by phone at 202-764-2639.

Thank you.

Patty Gamby
202-764-2639

-----Original Message-----

From: Shamet, Stefania [mailto:Shamet.Stefania@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2014 1:36 PM
To: Gamby, Patricia A WAD; Jacobus, Thomas P WAD
Cc: Bemis, James K (Jim) NAB; Seligman, Andrew
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Response to bypass request

All: Attached please find EPA's response to the Washington Aqueduct's request for approval of an anticipated bypass pursuant to Part II, Section B, Paragraph 3 of its NPDES Permit No. DC0000019 and 40 CFR 122.41(m).

I have re-reviewed the original letter agreement among the U.S. Park Service, the USFWS, the NMFS, EPA, and the Corps. I do not believe the letter agreement, which primarily addresses the FFCA and permit re-issuance, requires notice to the signatory agencies. EPA will notify the DC DOE.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions. A hard copy will follow.

Sincerely,

Stefania D. Shamet

Senior Assistant Regional Counsel

USEPA Region III

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE